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Abstract

Nerve conduction studies and needle electromyography, collectively known as electrodiagnostic (EDX) studies, have been available for pediatric patients for decades, but the accessibility of this diagnostic modality and the approach to testing vary significantly depending on the physician and institution. The maturation of molecular diagnostic approaches and other diagnostic technologies such as neuromuscular ultrasound indicate that an analysis of current needs and practices for EDX studies in the pediatric population is warranted. The American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AANEM) convened a consensus panel to perform literature searches, share collective experiences, and develop a consensus statement. The panel found that electrodiagnostic studies continue to have high utility for the diagnosis of numerous childhood neuromuscular disorders, and that standardized approaches along with the use of high-quality reference values are important to maximize the diagnostic yield of these tests in infants, children, and adolescents.
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Introduction

Electrodiagnostic (EDX) testing has been used to evaluate children with suspected neuromuscular disorders for decades. With the widespread adoption of genetic testing in the 1990s and 2000s, questions arose regarding whether the utility of EDX testing in the pediatric population would decline to obsolescence\(^1\). On the contrary, EDX tests have continued to show utility for the diagnostic evaluation of children, and patient referrals for these studies have remained at least stable overall, and have even been documented to be increasing in some centers\(^2\). The mix of referral questions has changed however; fewer patients with suspected muscular dystrophy are being referred for EDX testing, while evaluations for traumatic and other acquired injuries have increased\(^2\). Additionally, selected standard electrophysiologic measures such as compound muscle action potentials (CMAPs) are being used in pediatric clinical trials,
along with specialized electrophysiologic measures such as motor unit number estimation (MUNE). Despite this stable and perhaps increasing demand for pediatric EDX testing, the availability of these tests varies from center to center, along with the approaches to performing the studies. For these reasons, the American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AANEM) convened an expert panel to review the literature and discuss their collective experiences in order to provide some guidance to performing these diagnostic tests in the pediatric population.

**Methods**

Literature searches were performed on PubMed (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) for keywords relevant to the following subjects: (1) indications for EDX testing; (2) sedation and consent issues, parental presence during needle electromyography (EMG); (3) technical issues including stimulator size; (4) normative data including late responses; (5) specialized nerve conduction studies (NCSs), including repetitive stimulation and blink reflexes; (6) needle EMG issues; (7) single fiber EMG; (8) small nerve fiber testing; (9) evoked potentials. The literature searches included the keywords “pediatric” or “children”, or alternatively were restricted to an age range of 0-18 years. Details of individual literature searches for the individual topics may be found in Supplemental Methods. The retrieved abstracts were screened manually by individual panel members and relevant articles identified for detailed analysis. Whenever possible, articles focusing primarily on the pediatric population were used. Review articles and single case reports
were generally excluded. Panel members also contributed previously known articles relevant to these topics in cases where the literature search did not yield any relevant articles, or to supplement the results of the literature searches. The selected articles were then analyzed for contributory data; these data are summarized and interpreted below.

Results

1. Indications for EDX testing

The indications for ordering EDX testing in children have evolved over the decades. In 1983, Bady et al published a series of 1,624 studies in 1,385 children performed over a 3 year period. Within a subset of 122 children with hypotonia and weakness, 48% of studies were abnormal, and the most common diagnoses were spinal muscular atrophy, congenital myopathy, and peripheral neuropathy. In 2000, Darras and Jones published a review that addressed this issue, and recommended that EDX studies be ordered as an early diagnostic study when the diagnoses of congenital myopathy, indeterminate proximal weakness, inflammatory neuropathy, inherited neuropathy, and disorders of the neuromuscular junction were under consideration. They recommended that EDX studies be used as a second tier test for other diagnoses such as facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD), myotonic dystrophy, periodic paralysis, and spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). They noted that at a major pediatric referral center, the volume of studies hovered in the 100-150 range annually from 1979-1999, and noted no perceptible
decrease in patient volume despite the growing availability of diagnostic genetic testing during that period.

A follow-up article from the same center 2014 noted that EDX testing volume hovered around 150 cases per year from 2001 to 2007, then increased significantly to 200-250 annually from 2008-2011, suggesting that EDX testing continues to be useful despite ongoing advances in genetic testing\(^2\). That study found that common indications for ordering an EDX study in children include evaluations for suspected polyneuropathy, mononeuropathy, and various focal neurological symptoms in one or more extremities.

Mononeuropathies are less common in the pediatric population than in adults, yet they constitute a significant percentage of nerve injuries in this age group, and EDX studies play a critical role in the diagnosis and monitoring of such injuries. This was recognized at least as far back as the 1980s\(^5\).

In the upper limb, carpal tunnel syndrome, the most common mononeuropathy in adults, is relatively rare in children, but this disease does occur, often in the setting of mucopolysaccharidosis\(^6,7\), congenital bone abnormalities in the wrist\(^8\), as a manifestation of hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies\(^9,10\) or as an isolated hereditary disease\(^9,7,10\), and less commonly in the context of Schwartz-Jampel syndrome\(^9\). Proximal median neuropathies comprise a more significant proportion of median neuropathies in children compared to adults\(^11\). Ulnar neuropathy, the most common upper limb mononeuropathy in childhood, is associated with both axonal and demyelinating physiology\(^12\), and is often caused by
trauma, compression, and entrapment. The final major upper limb mononeuropathy, radial neuropathy, has similar common etiologies of trauma, compression, and entrapment, generally with a good prognosis. In contrast to adults, radial neuropathy in childhood does not typically localize to the spiral groove; the posterior interosseous nerve and distal main radial trunk are more common sites of injury.

In the lower limbs, sciatic neuropathy in childhood has been associated with trauma, iatrogenic causes, compression, various tumors, including perineurioma, and sometimes has vascular causes, including occlusion of the inferior gluteal artery. The other major mononeuropathy in the lower limbs, fibular (peroneal) neuropathy, has been associated with compression, trauma, and entrapment. One cause of compression of the fibular nerve is osteochondroma at or near the fibular head. As in adults, fibular neuropathy in children is frequently associated with axonal and fascicular injury. Clubfoot has on occasion been associated with EDX abnormalities, most commonly fibular neuropathy.

There is ongoing debate in the literature regarding the utility of EDX studies in the setting of neonatal brachial plexus palsy. Some surgeons argue that EDX data do not add meaningfully to information obtained via history and physical examination, or may underestimate the severity of some lesions. However, a survey of peripheral nerve surgeons found that a majority request EDX studies prior to surgical intervention. Many surgeons and EDX physicians would likely agree that EDX studies play a role in the evaluation of neonatal...
brachial plexus palsy, but that EDX data should be placed in the context of the entire clinical evaluation and not interpreted in isolation\textsuperscript{27}.

EDX studies have been found to be useful in the evaluation of outcomes in pediatric facial reanimation procedures\textsuperscript{28}.

2. Special considerations, including consent and optimization of patient comfort

Neurophysiologic studies of the motor unit produce valuable information to guide diagnosis and treatment planning. However, the approach to performing studies in infants, children, and adolescents needs to be individualized to the age and developmental stage of the patient in order to obtain maximal data. Most of the “art” of pediatric EDX testing has been shared through mentoring and common experiences; however, well written summaries discuss methods and technique\textsuperscript{29,30}.

It is important for the physician to understand methods of obtaining informed consent for EDX testing. One practice has the referring physician discuss the clinical need (potential benefits) of the testing while deferring a more detailed discussion of the actual test process to the physician performing the study. This clinician can describe the proposed testing (potential risks) using optimum vocabulary: “electrical pulses” rather than “shocks”, “wire probes” rather than “needles”. While there was individual variability, a formal prospective study of pediatric patients at Great Ormond Street Hospital in London demonstrated that children over the age of 4
years objectively graded discomfort from needle electromyography in the same range as that of venipuncture\textsuperscript{31}.

Minimizing pain and discomfort for the patient is of critical importance in pediatric EDX testing. Protocols for EDX testing in awake children are individualized to the diagnostic question with consideration for the child’s developmental/emotional state. In most cases, starting with the appropriate sensory NCSs would be optimal: sensory nerves frequently require lower levels of stimulation and pure sensory nerve stimulation does not produce a muscle twitch. Longer intervals between each individual nerve stimulus (even when building toward supramaximal stimulus) helps children tolerate NCSs. In small children, toddlers, and infants, stimulation above 50 mA is rarely needed. Increases in stimulus intensity from one stimulation to the next should be limited to about 5 mA\textsuperscript{32}. Minimizing the number of supramaximal stimulations is also helpful. The full complement of nerve testing is accomplished more quickly while administering each stimulus at longer intervals in children, with fewer premature refusals to continue. Completion of the EMG before allowing the child to examine the needle electrode is usually helpful.

A survey of EDX practitioners in 1992 identified younger children, children who had been uncooperative with previous painful procedures and those whose mothers reported fear and anxiety about undergoing EDX testing as factors predicting behavioral distress during EDX tests\textsuperscript{33}. Avoiding unneeded anxiety-provoking explanations, providing support from child life professionals and appropriately supportive parents, and providing pleasant distraction in terms of
music/videos/playful interaction all help many children tolerate the procedures without requiring pharmacologic interventions.

Distraction (ideally with the assistance of a Child Life specialist) has been found to be effective at reducing pain and should be used whenever practical. Several pharmacologic options also exist to accomplish this goal. Topical anesthetic preparations, subcutaneous lidocaine injections, vapocoolant sprays, and needle-free lidocaine jet injection systems have been used at various centers. Another alternative pain reduction method is a commercially available device that combines an ice pack with mechanical vibration. This device has been reported to reduce pain from needle insertions including intramuscular injections and other procedures. It is widely used by pediatricians for immunizations and venipuncture in children. This device is used by some pediatric EDX physicians for EMG electrode insertions as well as for other injections including botulinum toxin (KEA, personal communication).

The degree of distress or pain tolerated by infants and children for elective medical tests in an outpatient setting varies throughout the world, thus it is critically important to match appropriate patients with the ideal pain-minimizing procedure. In addition, the ages between 18 months and 5 years are frequently ones when non-compliant behavior is at its peak. In consideration of the above, conscious sedation and/or general anesthesia are used in some centers to facilitate neurophysiologic testing at these ages. While many anesthetic agents depress central activity and reflexes (e.g., electroencephalographic background rhythms, cortical evoked potentials, blink reflexes, H reflexes, and F responses), they do not impair peripheral motor and
sensory nerve conduction. Deeper levels of anesthesia clearly inhibit voluntary movement, however, use of combinations such as ketamine and midazolam or nitrous oxide and midazolam allow children to move intermittently or in response to reflex activation allowing analysis of motor unit recruitment and characteristics. Tetanic stimulation at 10 Hertz can be used to simulate exercise needed during testing of neuromuscular transmission.

3. Technical issues

A variety of technical factors impact the performance and interpretation of pediatric EMG and NCSs. It is important to appreciate these concepts in order to provide appropriate interpretation of data obtained and arrive at a correct diagnosis.

Standard, adult nerve stimulators generally have a distance of 3 cm between the anode and cathode. These are generally acceptable for patients above the age of 18-24 months. In younger patients, stimulators with shorter distances between anode and cathode (as little as 0.5 cm) are preferable\textsuperscript{35,32}. For NCSs performed on children, it is also preferable to use a stimulating device that allows the examiner’s hand to encircle the limb being studied while simultaneously holding the stimulating electrode. Small, bar-style stimulating electrodes are ideal for this purpose\textsuperscript{30}.

Due to the shorter limb lengths in pediatric patients as compared to adults, it is not always possible or preferable to use stimulator-to-recording electrode distances that have been
standardized for adult patients. For these reasons, it is often necessary to use anatomic landmarks, and not standardized distances, to determine stimulation sites. For the same reason, recording-to-reference electrode distance (which is standardized to at least 3 cm in adult sensory NCSs) may need to be modified for pediatric patients. Thus, adult bar-style recording electrodes with fixed interelectrode distances are not practical for some pediatric NCSs.

Standard-sized reusable electrodes are insufficient for recording over very small surfaces, as is often required in pediatric nerve conduction studies. Most disposable, stick-on electrodes can be cut to allow for smaller recording surfaces, making them a necessity for pediatric NCSs.

To minimize pain and discomfort during needle electrode examination, the smallest possible needle should be utilized. Concentric, 30-gauge (0.30mm diameter), 1 inch-long EMG needles (sometimes referred to as “facial” needles) should be adequate for most needle electrode examinations in pediatric patients, though it is important that the length of the needle be adequate to pass through subcutaneous fat, which may be more prominent in children, especially in infants and toddlers. Furthermore, minimizing the number of muscles to be studied is critical to the success of a pediatric EMG. In some institutions, many studies are completed with needle electrode examination of only a single muscle based on considerations of expected additional diagnostic data to be obtained with further study weighed against the goal of maximizing patient comfort. Of course, the number of muscles to be tested is dependent on the suspected diagnosis and clinical presentation of the patient, but should be minimized in all pediatric tests.
4. Normative data including late responses

Normative data for NCSs from infancy to adolescence has become increasingly available in recent years. Interpreting NCS data for young children must take age into consideration as axon diameter and myelination gradually increase from birth until adult values are attained at 4-5 years of age\textsuperscript{36,37}. Whereas a healthy adult is predicted to have an ulnar motor nerve conduction velocity $>52 \text{ m/s}$\textsuperscript{38}, ulnar motor nerve conduction velocities for a healthy full term newborn are expected to be 20-36 m/s\textsuperscript{36,39} and a premature infant at 25 weeks gestational age would be expected to have velocities $<15 \text{ m/s}$\textsuperscript{40}. Similarly, serialNCSs for preterm infants show gradual increases in conduction velocities towards term values during the third trimester\textsuperscript{40}.

Neurophysiologists have established ranges of normal for sensory and motor amplitudes, distal latencies, and conduction velocities for children. Awareness of the physiological changes that occur during infancy and early childhood is essential to avoid misinterpretation of normal NCSs. The AANEM’s Normative Data Taskforce established criteria for evaluating articles for consideration as normative standards\textsuperscript{41}. Applying those criteria to published pediatric studies yielded seven articles published in peer-reviewed journals since 1980 that provide high-quality normative data, from preterm infants\textsuperscript{42} to older children\textsuperscript{43-48} (Table 1). One additional article with a cohort of 92 children was included as it approached the 100-patient threshold established by the AANEM task force\textsuperscript{49} (Table 1).

The oldest study in the modern era was published by Lang et al\textsuperscript{48}. Of particular interest is this study’s compilation of data separated by sex. Nerve conduction velocities tended to be
higher in girls compared to boys, but statistical analyses were not conducted for those differences. Parano et al\textsuperscript{47} provided normative data on 155 children segregated by various age groups. Cai et al\textsuperscript{45} includes a large cohort of 168 children, the majority of whom (N=85) were less than 1 year old. This study therefore provides useful data for the incremental increases in conduction velocity and amplitude that occur with the physiological increase in axon diameter (thereby increasing conduction velocity) and in the case of motor studies, physiological muscle fiber hypertrophy (reflected in increased CMAP amplitudes) in early childhood. Garcia et al\textsuperscript{49} provided values for 92 children aged 1 week (born full-term) to 6 years. Wang et al\textsuperscript{44} is unique in that it provides data for femoral sensory and motor NCSs in 163 healthy children, including F-wave latencies and detection rates for proximal F-wave responses (see below). Although femoral NCSs are not routinely performed in most children, they may nevertheless be useful for those with quadriceps weakness and/or suspected femoral neuropathy.

The most recent study, with by far the largest cohort, is Ryan et al\textsuperscript{43}, which includes data from a large set of 1,918 NCSs performed on 1,849 unique pediatric patients, stratified into multiple age groups. Lower limits for amplitude and conduction velocity, and upper limits for distal latency, were provided for values < 5\textsuperscript{th} percentile or > 95\textsuperscript{th} percentile, respectively (i.e., >2 standard deviations below or above the mean). In light of the comprehensive nature of the data and the extensive set of tables, this article provides high-quality data that may be used in pediatric EDX laboratories on a daily basis. A limitation of this study was the low sample size.
for infants. Data from this single study should be compared with those from other studies as needed, especially for infants.

Smit et al\textsuperscript{42} was the only large study of 200 preterm infants aged 25-30 weeks gestational age. Motor nerve conduction velocities were provided for median and tibial nerves with normative data provided including threshold of $>2$ SD below the mean for each week of gestational age achieved. The gradual improvement of conduction velocity in this preterm cohort is similar to that reported in a smaller study\textsuperscript{40}.

Advances in statistical and computational resources now allow neurophysiologists to calculate reference ranges for individual EDX laboratories given an adequate amount of data from those laboratories. The analyses from one such approach are referred to as e-norms\textsuperscript{50}. It is important to ensure that robust sample sizes are used (>100 patients) and that developmental factors are considered (physiologically small axon diameters and incomplete myelination for children less than 5 years) to place these calculations into the proper developmental context.

Height should be taken into account for children as nerve conduction velocity is inversely proportional to an individual’s height\textsuperscript{48}. This may also be an important consideration for late responses (H-reflex) performed in rapidly growing adolescents.

Subcutaneous fat, particularly in younger children (<2 years old) can pose technical challenges and can make it difficult to elicit sural or superficial peroneal sensory nerve responses
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consistently in this age group. Medial and lateral plantar responses may be measured
orthodromically in such younger children to overcome this challenge.

As in adults, effects of temperature must be carefully considered in the pediatric age
group. In normal subjects, within a range that excludes very high or very low temperatures, a
1°C decrease in local temperature results in 1.2 to 2.4 m/s decrease in motor and sensory
conduction velocities. Infants may be more susceptible to cooling (due to their large surface
area) and overly anxious children may have cooler limbs due to the autonomic nervous system
shunting blood away from extremities. Standardization of temperature during testing is
necessary to obviate this potential artifact. Upper limbs temperature should be maintained at 34-
36 °C and lower limbs at 32-34 °C).

Eight articles pertaining to normal pediatric F response latencies were found from the
past 35 years (Table 2). Five of the studies also provided data pertaining to sensory and motor
nerve conduction studies as noted above, whereas three studies focused specifically
on F-wave data for children < 18 years old. Six studies provided infant data, of which five reported a progressive decrease in minimum F-response latency from the neonatal
period (< 28 days), early infancy (1-6 months), older infancy (7-12 months), to the toddler years
(1-3 years). This age-related change is due to progressive increases in axon diameter
and myelination. Only one study reported an increase in F-response latency from 1 week to 7
years of age. For the six studies that compared minimum F-wave latencies from infancy
throughout childhood, all reported a steady increase in F-wave latency from the toddler years (1-
3 years old) to school entry\textsuperscript{55,47,54} and into adolescence\textsuperscript{45,52,44}, attributable to linear growth. Height is the most significant factor influencing the minimum F response latencies, more so than age, suggesting that height should be accounted for when interpreting F response latencies, especially in rapidly growing adolescents\textsuperscript{52}.

With respect to other late responses, H-reflex reference values in children are available from a cohort of 91 children (ages 1 day to 12 months)\textsuperscript{56}. Normal values have also been calculated for a novel T-reflex measuring the latency of myoelectric deep tendon (T) reflex response in children, recorded from the soleus and rectus femoris\textsuperscript{57}.

5. Specialized nerve conduction studies: repetitive stimulation and blink reflexes

Repetitive nerve stimulation (RNS) and exercise testing can provide useful information in the evaluation of a child with a suspected disorder of neuromuscular transmission. RNS can help facilitate the diagnosis of disorders such as transient neonatal myasthenia gravis or congenital myasthenic syndrome (CMS). Many infants and children presenting with disorders of neuromuscular transmission may present with weakness and breathing or feeding difficulty which can show clinical and electrophysiological overlap with myopathies\textsuperscript{58,59}, including a number of inherited neuromuscular diseases\textsuperscript{60}. As such, a careful interrogation of the function of neuromuscular junction is an important consideration. RNS is commonly employed after routine nerve conduction studies have been completed but it is important to note that, like in adults,
important information can be obtained from routine motor studies. Routine motor NCSs may elicit “double” CMAP responses which can be a clue to the presence of certain types of CMS, including slow channel CMS or acetylcholinesterase deficiency\textsuperscript{61}. While this can be a helpful clue, the sensitivity of this electrophysiological finding is not known. Moreover, it is not specific to CMS as it can also be seen with organophosphate exposure\textsuperscript{62}. CMAP amplitudes may also be reduced due to failure of normal neuromuscular transmission.

Slow RNS (2 to 3 Hz) in children is performed in a similar manner as adults. Supramaximal stimulation is delivered as a train of 5 or 10 pulses. CMAP amplitude of the first and fourth (or fifth) response is compared, and a CMAP decrement of $>10\%$ is considered abnormal. While this test can provide important information, it is uncomfortable and can be difficult for young children or those with cognitive impairment to tolerate and cooperate. At least 30-65$\%$ of children with juvenile myasthenia gravis may initially present with ocular symptoms\textsuperscript{63,64}, and the likelihood of a child presenting with ocular (rather than generalized) MG symptoms is reportedly higher in pre-pubertal (versus post-pubertal) children\textsuperscript{65}. This must be considered since RNS of the facial nerve (stimulating anterior to the tragus and recording from the nasalis or orbicularis oculi) is particularly challenging for younger children and less likely to be performed successfully without sedation or general anesthesia. Adult studies have found single fiber EMG (SFEMG) to be more sensitive than RNS at diagnosing a disorder of neuromuscular transmission\textsuperscript{66,67}. Although these data are lacking in children, many pediatric neurologists and neurophysiologists would concur that SFEMG or even stimulated SFEMG
(SSFEMG) is a more sensitive tool\textsuperscript{68}. SFEMG may also detect abnormalities in clinically unaffected forearm muscles in adult patients presenting with ocular forms of the disease\textsuperscript{69}.

Transient neonatal myasthenia gravis is commonly diagnosed with RNS because this tends to be a more generalized disorder as a result of the passive transfer of maternal antibodies\textsuperscript{70}.

Fast RNS (20 to 50 Hz) is needed to test for possible facilitation or increment in CMAP amplitude in pre-synaptic disorders of neuromuscular transmission such as infantile botulism, presynaptic CMS\textsuperscript{71,72} and pediatric Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS). There are case reports of infants with CMS (including choline acetyltransferase or \textit{CHAT} mutations) who have subsequently been found to have abnormalities on fast or prolonged RNS\textsuperscript{73,71}. Although these diagnoses are individually rare, all have potential clinical implications if overlooked, including apnea (botulism or CHAT mutations) or paraneoplastic causes (LEMS)\textsuperscript{74}.

Exercise testing has diagnostic value for patients with suspected muscle channelopathies as it may document abnormal membrane excitability. Standardized protocols comprising the short and long exercise tests have been developed to differentiate among these disorders during neurophysiological testing and different patterns of abnormality can provide evidence for a potential sodium or chloride channelopathy\textsuperscript{75}. Limb cooling may also help to differentiate the two\textsuperscript{76}. Of note, these tests require cooperation on the part of the patient and are therefore more likely to be of value in older children and adolescents.
Facial nerve motor NCSs, blink reflex studies and facial muscle EMG have a role in evaluating infants and children with facial or bulbar weakness. They may assist with localizing the site of injury which can include: muscle (e.g., nemaline rod myopathy), peripheral nerve (e.g., obstetrical trauma) or brainstem nuclei (e.g., brain stem dysgenesis). Normal values for facial nerve motor NCSs are available for age ranges throughout childhood.77

Blink reflex testing evaluates integrity of the trigeminal and facial nerves as well as the pathways between their cranial nuclei. A supramaximal shock is applied to the supraorbital branch of the trigeminal nerve resulting in a direct response which has two components: R1 (rapid and brief) and R2 (delayed and prolonged). The R1 response is present from birth and achieves normal adult values (range 9-13 ms) at 4 weeks of life for a term infant.78 The ipsilateral R2 (R2i) can be elicited in most term newborns (latency range 34-43 ms) however, the contralateral R2 (R2c) is not consistently obtained prior to the age of 9 months old.79 The initial absence of an R2c is thought to be due to lack of normal myelination of the brainstem, facial and trigeminal pathways in very young children.80 Latency differences between R2i and R2c have also been reported in normal infants < 12 months old, with physiological differences in latencies lessening after that age, so that the latencies are identical to adults by 3 years old.81

Interpretation of blink reflex findings in children is otherwise similar to that of adults. Abnormality of blink reflex has been reported as an early electrophysiological sign of acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy as well as showing abnormality in children with
myelomeningocele\textsuperscript{83}, children with Tourette syndrome\textsuperscript{84}, or adolescents and adults with migraine\textsuperscript{80} although practically it is not used for any of these conditions.

While electrophysiological testing can reliably elicit R1 and R2i responses from birth, observational studies have noted that administering a shock to the glabellum reliably elicits a blink response in 100\% of term infants\textsuperscript{85}, whereas handheld esthiometers elicited a blink in only 25\% of infants at 1 week old, slowly increasing to 100\% of infants by 12 weeks of age\textsuperscript{86}. An intact blink reflex has been consistently shown to be a good predictor of clinical outcome for adults with idiopathic facial palsy (Bell’s palsy)\textsuperscript{87,88} as well as children\textsuperscript{89}.

Blink reflex is abnormal in children with Moebius syndrome and other disorders of brainstem dysgenesis, a finding that can aid in the diagnosis of these conditions\textsuperscript{90,91}.

For infants with facial or bulbar dysfunction and concern regarding potential feeding difficulty, simultaneous needle EMG of the genioglossus and thyrohyoid have been used at specialized centers to permit electrophysiological evaluation of infants clinically suspected to have dyscoordinated sucking and swallowing\textsuperscript{77}.

6. Needle EMG issues

EMG is generally a necessary and valuable complement to NCS\textsuperscript{s}\textsuperscript{2,92}. In studies addressing an isolated traumatic nerve injury, the focus of the needle EMG study is directed by clinical examination of weakness and likely nerve(s) involved. In diagnostic studies that assess
generalized neuromuscular disorders the choice and number of muscles to study is more complicated. The aim is to optimize the diagnostic value of the study while minimizing discomfort to the child. The sensitivity of EMG in neurogenic diagnoses can be as high as 99.5%\textsuperscript{93}. Myogenic disorders are less sensitive to diagnosis by EMG in ages under 2 years old\textsuperscript{93,94,1,95}, though sensitivity improves in the older age groups. Review of the literature suggests that EMG of at least one arm and leg is recommended when feasible, with four muscles being optimal for making a diagnosis\textsuperscript{94}. This allows for sampling muscles in a wide anatomic distribution but may be limited by patient cooperation; thus, in many cases the clinical context and the results of the NCSs indicate that sampling one muscle is most prudent, as noted above. Pediatric studies looking at pain perception equate the EMG with venipuncture\textsuperscript{31}. There is increased perception of pain when more than one muscle is sampled and in the upper extremities (including genioglossus in this study). Another consideration is the effect of using smaller pediatric concentric needles instead of standard concentric needles which may lower motor unit action potential (MUAP) amplitude without a significant effect on MUAP duration\textsuperscript{96}. With these considerations, it is judicious to initially choose the most appropriate muscle to sample. Neurological exam cannot be overemphasized as well as knowledge of the suspected type of disorder. Muscle ultrasound prior to needle EMG can scan several muscles quickly and is painless\textsuperscript{97}. Using ultrasound to identify abnormal-appearing muscles and to estimate the severity of abnormalities increases the likelihood of choosing muscles for needle EMG that will display clear diagnostic findings. Sedation in performing EMG studies is used to varying degrees in
different institutions, some places perform sedated procedures routinely\textsuperscript{94} and others more rarely\textsuperscript{1}. Sedation has the advantage of permitting the study to be performed without upsetting the child. The limitation is ability to volitionally activate many muscles in order to evaluate motor unit activity. The risk of anesthesia in a child also needs to be considered, particularly if there is severe pulmonary or cardiac involvement\textsuperscript{98}.

One representative EDX laboratory familiar to two of the authors (TJL & KEA) is the EMG Laboratory at the National Institutes of Health (NIH), where both awake and sedated pediatric studies are performed. In complicated situations where multiple diagnostic tests are needed, sedated studies are usually performed in a multidisciplinary facility that also includes specialized personnel for MRI imaging, audiology, dental, ophthalmology, cerebrospinal fluid studies (lumbar puncture) and skin biopsy. In sedated studies and in addition to standard NCSs, these two authors sample two muscles in the leg: tibialis anterior and internal hamstrings; and one muscle in the arm: biceps. These muscles are chosen because they are easiest to activate in a lightly sedated patient while other, muscles particularly in the hand, are more difficult to activate. Other studies such as RNS or SFEMG may be performed if clinically indicated. In awake patients, the EDX physician is more dependent on clinical and ultrasound exam to choose the muscle most likely to be abnormal for needle EMG. For cooperative children, more than one muscle is examined.
7. **Jitter analysis for disorders of the neuromuscular junction: single fiber EMG and stimulation potential analysis with concentric electrodes (SPACE)**

Analysis of jitter is the most sensitive study of the neuromuscular junction\textsuperscript{99,100}. This is an important diagnostic study for CMS, botulism, and neonatal myasthenia gravis. In the pediatric population, traditional stimulated SFEMG techniques have been used\textsuperscript{73}. In addition, an adaptation of the stimulated SFEMG technique, using a concentric needle electrode to record from the orbicularis oculi, has been used successfully by several groups. This adapted technique is known variously as \textsuperscript{SPACE101-103}, stimulated jitter analysis\textsuperscript{104,100}, or concentric needle jitter\textsuperscript{105}. The zygomatic branch of the facial nerve is stimulated by a monopolar needle. In SPACE and stimulated jitter studies, a concentric facial needle is used to collect a sufficient number of single motor fiber unit potentials. The advantage of these adapted techniques is that they can be performed sedated or with local anesthesia and within about 15-20 minutes. The disadvantage is that there may be biasing of the potentials leading to a decreased jitter\textsuperscript{106}. Normative values in the pediatric population are limited by the ability to perform studies on normal children. Using an analytic technique of e-norms, it was determined that children over age 2 years have jitter values (MCD 22 \( \mu \text{s} \)) approaching adult levels (MCD 21 \( \mu \text{s} \))\textsuperscript{50}. Children younger than 2 years old have slightly longer jitter values; with 1-2 year olds having an MCD of 33 \( \mu \text{s} \) and those under 1 year having an MCD of 45 \( \mu \text{s} \)\textsuperscript{107}. 

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.
The diagnostic value of jitter in the orbicularis oculi varies in the different CMS\textsuperscript{59}. Patients with DOK7 CMS are less likely to have an abnormal jitter. Also, the possibility of a neurogenic disorder needs to be ruled out since it can cause a false positive result.

8. Small nerve fiber testing

Small nerve fibers are thinly myelinated A delta and unmyelinated C fibers that mediate the sensations of pain and temperature and autonomic function. Autonomic symptoms that can be produced by dysfunction of autonomic small nerve fibers include: dry eyes, dry mouth, orthostatic symptoms (from lightheadedness through syncope), palpitations, variations in blood pressure, abnormal sweating, overheating, erectile dysfunction, nausea, vomiting, constipation, early satiety, urinary frequency, nocturia and others.

Evaluation of small fiber function is important in children as there are a number of disorders associated with dysfunction limited to these fiber types in which standard NCSs are unrevealing. These include erythromelalgia\textsuperscript{108}, Fabry disease\textsuperscript{109}, diffuse widespread pain\textsuperscript{110-112} and familial dysautonomia, and other hereditary neuropathies\textsuperscript{113,114}.

Sympathetic skin response (SSR) testing is a simple technique that can be performed using standard EDX equipment. Surface electrodes are placed over the palm and sole of the feet and can record sympathetic responses to a variety of stimuli: tactile, auditory or electrical pulses\textsuperscript{115}. However, this response habituates quickly to repeated stimuli and the response is
poorly graded and so usually assessed qualitatively as present or absent. Standard motor and sensory NCSs do not evaluate small nerve fibers.

Patient-reported outcome surveys have been developed and validated for adults with small fiber polyneuropathies\textsuperscript{116}. These surveys have not been validated in children or adolescents; however, they have been used informally with perceived benefit (Oaklander AL, personal communication).

Computer-assisted quantitative sensory testing (QST) can be used to assess temperature and pain perception and has demonstrated reproducible results in children as young as 6 years of age\textsuperscript{117}. Values of cold sensation, warm sensation, cold pain, heat pain and vibration sensation detection thresholds were determined in the hand and foot for healthy children between 6 and 17 years of age.

Intraepidermal nerve fiber (IENF) measurements have been used to quantify the status of small nerve fibers in humans\textsuperscript{118}. The invasiveness of performing one to several 3mm full thickness skin punch biopsies and the absence of normative values has limited use of this technique in children. However, recent modifications to technique (obtaining suction blister specimens on extremities) have been correlated to values measured on full thickness skin punch biopsies and quantitated in 20 healthy children between 7 and 17 years of age\textsuperscript{119}.

Corneal confocal microscopy (CCM) is a non-invasive method for measuring small nerve fiber branching, density and length. CCM can be scored in an automated manner and does not
require undue degrees of cooperation so would appear to be a promising technique for quantifying small nerve fiber function in children\textsuperscript{120}.

Contact heat evoked potentials (CHEP) uses a heated foil thermode to apply cycles of heating and cooling on an extremity while the evoked potential is recovered over a CZ scalp electrode. The technique does not cause tissue injury and is considered non-invasive. There are no published reports using this technique in children\textsuperscript{121}.

Measurements of the extent of surface area involved and the volume of sweat generated by standard heat stresses have been used with several techniques (thermoregulatory sweat testing and quantitative sudomotoraxon reflex testing) to evaluate small fiber function\textsuperscript{122,123}. These techniques have been used and demonstrated to contribute significantly to diagnosis and treatment planning in various disorders in children and adolescents\textsuperscript{123}.

Other autonomic tests evaluating vagal, sympathetic and gastrointestinal function have been demonstrated to be useful while contributing to diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment planning\textsuperscript{123}.

In conclusion, evaluating small nerve fiber function is important for all who care for children and adolescents. However, the techniques for this testing reache beyond standard NCSs and needle EMG. Techniques such as thermoregulatory sweat testing can be used at present with good validity and interpretability. However, validation of reproducibility and knowledge of age appropriate normal values for younger children do not yet exist for other commonly used autonomic tests such as the Valsalva ratio and heart rate response to deep breathing,
9. Evoked potentials

There is an extensive literature on the use of evoked potentials in children. However, the bulk of the articles focus on audiologic, ophthalmologic, or central nervous system applications of this test modality, which are not the focus of the current discussion. With respect to lower motor neuron / peripheral nervous system applications, somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs) and motor evoked potentials (MEPs) were historically used for diagnostic purposes\textsuperscript{124}, but those applications have become less common with the wide availability of spine MRI technology and other diagnostic tests. Currently, evoked potentials frequently have utility in children as intraoperative monitoring modalities during procedures such as spine surgeries\textsuperscript{124}. Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring using SSEPs, MEPs, and/or EMG (ideally all three simultaneously) is useful whenever nervous system tracts are at risk during operative procedures, so that any potential damage to such tissues may be prevented or mitigated. MEPs are often generated using transcranial electrical stimulation, yielding transcranial MEPs, also known as tcMEPs. Technical details of tcMEPs in children such as the optimal number of pulses during spine surgeries have been studied\textsuperscript{125}. The interactions between MEPs and various anesthetic agents have been studied, and MEPs are preserved in children who are administered either propofol or desflurane\textsuperscript{126}. In contrast, dexmedetomidine tends to attenuate tcMEP signals\textsuperscript{127}.

Discussion
Literature review revealed that a significant proportion of the literature on the use of EDX testing in pediatrics consists of articles published prior to 2000; however, it is notable that there continues to be a steady stream of papers that continue to the current day on this topic. This reflects the stable and even increasing volume of children who undergo these studies and demonstrates the continued utility of EDX testing in children, albeit with a shift in the proportions of various indications and diagnoses. Most notably, certain categories of inherited diseases such as muscular dystrophy and spinal muscular atrophy do not routinely require electromyography as part of the diagnostic evaluation. However, in atypical cases EDX testing can provide critical assistance with narrowing of the differential diagnosis.

Data on normative values is difficult to gather in large quantities, especially for children. It is heartening to see that seven articles met rigorous criteria to help determine what normative values to use on a routine basis. It is also encouraging to see that one of these articles was published recently, in 2019.

It is important for any medical center that provides care for a significant number of children to provide this service to patients who would benefit from it, performed by trained EDX physicians who should have a strong background in pediatrics.

The panel concludes that the use of EDX testing in children will thrive for some time to come, and that techniques and practice patterns for this important diagnostic test modality will continue to evolve in the future. EDX testing in children will continue to complement other
diagnostic test modalities such as various serum tests, muscle biopsy, imaging, and genetic testing.
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Table 1. Publications providing pediatric (< 18 years of age) normal values over the past 35 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Age stratifications</th>
<th>Sensory nerves</th>
<th>Motor nerves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lang et al, 1985(^{48})</td>
<td>129 children, ages 3-19 years</td>
<td>Stratified by sex rather than age groups</td>
<td>Radial, Sural, Superficial fibular (peroneal)</td>
<td>Median Fibular (peroneal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parano et al, 1993(^{47})</td>
<td>155 children, ages 1 week to 14 years</td>
<td>1 week to 1 month 1 to 6 months 1 to 2 years 2 to 4 years 4 – 6 years 6 – 14 years</td>
<td>Median Sural</td>
<td>Median Fibular (peroneal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyllienmark et al, 1995(^{46})</td>
<td>128 children, ages 6 to 20 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Superficial fibular (peroneal) Sural</td>
<td>Median Fibular (peroneal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Age stratifications</td>
<td>Sensory nerves</td>
<td>Motor nerves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cai et al, 1997</td>
<td>168 children and adults, ages 0 to 30 years</td>
<td>24 to 72 hours 0 to 3 months 4 to 6 months 7 to 12 months 1 to 3 years 4 to 6 years 7 to 14 years 18 to 30 years</td>
<td>Median Ulnar Sural</td>
<td>Median Ulnar Tibial Fibular (peroneal) F responses for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smit et al, 1999</td>
<td>200 preterm infants, ages 25 to 30 weeks gestation</td>
<td>0 to 3 days 14 to 17 days Term 6 months corrected age</td>
<td>Not examined</td>
<td>Ulnar Tibial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia et al, 2000</td>
<td>92 children, ages 1 week to 6 years</td>
<td>&lt; 1 month 1 to 6 months 6 to 12 months 1 to 2 years 2 to 4 years 4 to 6 years</td>
<td>Median Medial plantar</td>
<td>Median Ulnar Fibular (peroneal) Tibial F responses for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al, 2014</td>
<td>163 children, ages 0 to 14 years</td>
<td>0 to 3 months 3 to 6 months 6 to 12 months 1 to 3 years 3 to 6 years 6 to 14 years</td>
<td>Femoral</td>
<td>Femoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Age stratifications</td>
<td>Nerves studied</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan et al, 2019&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,918 sets of nerve conduction studies on 1,849 children, ages 0 to 18 years</td>
<td>&lt; 1 month &lt;br&gt;1 to 6 months &lt;br&gt;6 to 12 months &lt;br&gt;1 to 2 years &lt;br&gt;2 to 3 years &lt;br&gt;3 to 4 years &lt;br&gt;4 to 5 years &lt;br&gt;5 to 10 years &lt;br&gt;10 to 15 years &lt;br&gt;15 to 18 years</td>
<td>Median &lt;br&gt;Ulnar &lt;br&gt;Radial &lt;br&gt;Lateral antebrachial cutaneous &lt;br&gt;Medial antebrachial cutaneous &lt;br&gt;Sural &lt;br&gt;Superficial fibular (peroneal) &lt;br&gt;Saphenous &lt;br&gt;Lateral plantar &lt;br&gt;Medial plantar</td>
<td>Median &lt;br&gt;Ulnar &lt;br&gt;Radial &lt;br&gt;Spinal accessory &lt;br&gt;Femoral &lt;br&gt;Fibular (peroneal) &lt;br&gt;Tibial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Publications providing pediatric (< 18 years of age) normal F-response data over the past 35 years
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Authors and Year</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Nerve Studies</th>
<th>Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miller and Kuntz, 1986</td>
<td>17 ulnar, 7 median, 15 peroneal and 11 tibial nerve studies</td>
<td>1 to 24 months</td>
<td>Median Ulnar Fibular (peroneal) Tibial</td>
<td>Decreases from 1-6 months to 7-12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misra et al, 1989</td>
<td>60 children</td>
<td>20 neonates, (1 to 28 days), infants (1 month to 1 year) and children (2 to 12 years)</td>
<td>Median (recording APB)</td>
<td>Neonates 17 ms, infants 15 ms, and children 16 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parano et al, 1993</td>
<td>155 children</td>
<td>1 week to 7 years</td>
<td>Median (recording APB) Fibular (peroneal) (recording EDB)</td>
<td>Linear increase from birth to 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puksa et al, 2011</td>
<td>175 children</td>
<td>3 to 20 years</td>
<td>Median (recording APB) Ulnar (recording ADM) Fibular (peroneal) (recording EDB) Tibial (recording AH)</td>
<td>Gradual increase from 3 to 20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al, 2014</td>
<td>163 children</td>
<td>0 to 14 years</td>
<td>Femoral (recording rectus femoris)</td>
<td>Decreases from 6-12 months to 1-3 years and then increases progressively to adolescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cai et al, 1997</td>
<td>168 children and young adults</td>
<td>1 day to 30 years</td>
<td>Median (recording APB) Ulnar (recording ADM) Fibular (peroneal) (recording EDB) Tibial (recording AH)</td>
<td>Decreases from 24-72 hours until 1-3 years, followed by gradual increase from 1-3 years to adolescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Age stratifications</td>
<td>Nerves studied</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadeem et al, 2002&lt;sup&gt;54&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>167 infants and children</td>
<td>0 to 12 years</td>
<td>Ulnar (recording ADM)</td>
<td>Mean F-wave minimal latency value remained constant in the various age groups during the first 6 months of life, then dropped in the 6-9 months age group, then increased with age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma et al, 2019&lt;sup&gt;53&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>138 median, 384 ulnar, 461 fibular (peroneal), 424 tibial nerve studies</td>
<td>&lt; 18 years (mean 12 years)</td>
<td>Median Ulnar Fibular (peroneal) Tibial</td>
<td>F-wave estimated deviance was calculated by subtracting the F-estimate from the recorded F-latency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADM, abductor digiti minimi; AH, abductor hallucis; APB, abductor pollicis brevis; EDB, extensor digitorum brevis