Mot	Motor Nerve Conduction Studies									
Ref	Number of Subjects	Proximal Stimulation Site	Inter- mediate Stimulation Site	Distal Stimulation Site	Recording Site	Normal Values	Criteria for Abnormal Value	Comparison Standard	Sensitivity %	Specificity %
1	34 normal 11 abnorma	80-100 mm proximal to the FH	20-30 mm distal to the FH	Ankle	AT, EDB	AT: FH $37.1 \pm 10.0 \text{ mVms}$ PF $35.8 \pm 9.7 \text{ mVms}$ EDB: ankle $24.3 \pm 5.8 \text{ mVms}$ PF $23.3 \pm 5.8 \text{ mVms}$	MCV through calf < 33.9 m/s Any amplitude drop side to side, AL recording Any amplitude drop side to side, EDB recording	Clinical features of PN	9.1 91 91	100
3	25 normal 27 abnorma	Mid PF and above knee	Below knee	Ankle	EDB	CV: Below Knee-Above Knee 48.0 ± 5.0 AK- BK 31.5 ± 7.4	MCV slowing > controls in the above knee to below knee segment EDB amplitude drop greater than or equal to 50% drop in amplitude at above knee compared to below knee segment	Physical examination	100	
5	28 normal 18 abnormal	2, 4, 6 cm proximal to FH	2, 4 cm distal to FH	Ankle	EDB	Across knee: Right: $58.4 \pm 9.2$ m/s Left: $58.6 \pm 9.9$ m/s Conduction time per 2 cm segment: .55 ms ± .1(range .3 .65)Distal/Proximal percent changeR:1.6 ± - 7.4L:1.8 ± 11.4	Amplitude drop $\pm 3$ SD frommeanConduction time $\pm 3$ SD frommean2 cm segment: Amplitude dropConduction time10 cm segment: AmplitudedropConduction time	Clinical features of PN	77.8 94.4 38.9 38.9	
6	103	PF	FH	Ankle	AT EDB		Decrease in amplitude of = or > to 50% from distal to proximal stimulation sites to either EDB or AT Absent response recording at EDB Absent response recording at the AT	Included patients with abnormal EDX studies		
9	186 normal 36 abnorma		FH	Ankle	EDB	PF to ankle $49.0 \pm 3.9$ m/s FH to ankle $48.0 \pm 4.2$ PF to FH $52 \pm 9.6$ Side to side difference $-1.2 \pm 5.3$	MCV PF to ankle<36 m/s MCV FH to ankle <32 m/s MCV PF to FH <29 m/s Drop in CV PF to Ankle segment - FH to ankle segment > 6 m/s Drop in CV PF to FH segment -FH to ankle segment > 30 m/s Motor amplitude drop distal to	Abnormal electro- myography consistent with peroneal lesion at the knee	12.9 0.0 29.0 16.1 3.2 60.6	100 100 100 100 100 100

10	20/10		Y 1' - 1				2.4	-PF to				10 00		
10	39/10	Lateral popliteal space, level of midpatella	Immediately distal to FH	y Ankle	AT EDB	AT $62.6 \pm 3$ (46-78) m/s proximal seg $53.8 \pm 3.4$ I distal segm $50.3 \pm 2.4$	s EDB - gment: m/s EDB - ent:	PF to			Abnormal EN	AG 90 60		
11	74/23	PF	FH	Ankle	AT, PL, EDB			proxi	mal to dista sent respons	ing >10m/s l segment se across FH	Clinical featu	33		
								Drop	in EDB am	plitude		18.8		
12	6 with normal clinical findings at follow-u /14	9.5-11.5 cm proximal to the FH	1-2 cm distal to the FH	Ankle	EDB			Dista	l latency to	EDB	Clinical featu at follow up	27.8 ires		
13	12/22	8 or 5 cm proximal to FH	2 cm distal to FH	Ankle	AT, PB, EDB	AT 5.1 (2.5 - 7.0) r EDB 8.8 (2.0 - 17.0) PB 7.4 (4.0 - 11.0)	mV	limits obtain Cond Cond (Cond (Cond than 3 from record	ced CMAPs s of control ned) uction block uction block duction block duction block duction block distal to pro distal to pro distal to pro ding site)	or not k - AT k – EDB k – PB ck: Greater a amplitude oximal	Clinical features	50 70 70 80 100		
SEN	ISORY NE	ERVE CON	Number	N STUDIE: Proximal	S Distal	Recording	Normal Val	100			Technique	Comparison		
	Temperature	of Abnormal Subjects	of Control Subjects	Stimulation Site	Stimulation Site	Site	Amplitude (µV)	ues	Latency (ms)	CV (m/s)		Standard	Sensitivity %	Specificity %
1	Not Reported	6	25	80-100 mm proximal to distal stimulation site	Medial to lateral malleolus	Dorsum of foot	Not Reporte	ed		$53.8\pm4.56$	Superficial peroneal amplitude decreased, or absent response	Physical examination	83	
3	Not Reported	27, 3 additional with HNPP	25	Not Reported	Not Reported	Not Reported	21.3 ± 6.9			50.2 ± 3.26		Physical examination	Not Reported	

7	30.0	11	35	14 cm proximal to the active electrode, anterior to the fibula	3 cm proximal the mid bimalleolar line	2 cm proximal to the FH	Ankle: 13.8 (0-45) Knee: 6.9 (0-32)	Ankle latency: Onset: 2.7 (2.1- 3.4) Peak: 3.4 (2.9- 4.0) Knee latency: Peak: 4.5 (3.4- 6.5)		Superficial peroneal NCS Ankle recording FH recording	Clinical diagnosis	36.4 63.6	
8	>32	7	21	10 cm proximal to recording electrodes, anterior ankle **		Interdigita I nerves on foot dorsum**	* 5.96 6.46 7.55 6.58	Conset * 2.17 2.16 2.36 2.43 Peak * 2.84 2.84 2.81 3.08 3.10	46.87 46.87 42.84 41.76	* Digital nerve recordings of the two branches of the intermediate dorsal and medial dorsal cutaneous branches >2 SD from control Superficial peroneal nerve > 2 SD from controls	Physical examination	***	*
11	36-37	23 definite 24 suggestive			Anterior ankle	1-2 cm distal to the FH 9.5 cm - 11.5 cm proximal to the FH	FH 3 μV PF 3 μV (LLN .51)	<u> </u>	>47m/s 54m/s at age 50 (95% confidence limit) Conduction slowing <10m/s proximal to distal segments	Sensory conduction abnormality across FH defined as CV drop greater >10m/s across FH segment compared to distal segment, or slowed across FH segment with normal distal conduction	History, clinical examination laboratory and radiologic studies to rule out other causes	81	
12	35-36	14 with abnormal EDX studies at the FH on initial study	6 with normal clinical findings at follow- up		Superior extensor retinaculum	9.5 cm 11.5 cm proximal to the FH and 1-2 cm distal to the FH							

Compariso n Standard	Criteria for Abnormal Muscle	Sensitivity %	Sensitivit y %	Sensitivity %	Sensitivity %	Sensitivity %	Sensitivity %	
		SH of biceps femoris	AT	EHL	EDB	PL	РВ	
Clinical findings of PN	Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges	Not Reported	100	Not Reported	91	82	Not Reported	
Clinical findings of PNAbnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges000								
	Decreased voluntary recruitment		v					
Clinical findings of PN	Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges Absent voluntary activity	Not reported	79 9	Not Reported	77	72	Not Reported	
	Increased duration		17		26	13		
Clinical findings of PN	Polyphasia >12% Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges	0	<u>54</u> 90	90	90	60	75	
_	n Standard Clinical findings of PN Clinical findings of PN Clinical findings of PN Clinical findings of PN Clinical findings of PN Clinical findings of Clinical findings of PN	n Standard Muscle Clinical findings of PN discharges Clinical findings of PN discharges Clinical findings of PN discharges Decreased voluntary recruitment Clinical findings of PN discharges Decreased voluntary recruitment Clinical findings of PN discharges Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges Absent voluntary activity Increased duration Polyphasia >12% Clinical findings	n Standard Muscle % SH of biceps femoris Clinical Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber PN Abnormal spontaneous findings of PN Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges Decreased voluntary recruitment Clinical Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges Decreased voluntary recruitment Clinical Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported Single muscle fiber discharges Clinical Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber PN Absent voluntary activity Increased duration Polyphasia >12% Clinical Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber	n Standard   Muscle   %   y     Nuscle   %   y     SH of biceps femoris   AT     Clinical findings of PN   Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges   Not Reported   100     Clinical findings of PN   Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges   0   57% had fe 100% in m     Clinical findings of PN   Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges   Not reported   57% had fe 100% in m     Clinical findings of PN   Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges   Not reported   79     Increased duration   Increased duration   117     Polyphasia >12%   54   54	n Standard Muscle 9% 9% 9% SH of biceps femoris 2HL SH of biceps femoris 2HL Not Reported 2HL Reported 2HL Not Reported 2HL Not Reported 2HL Not Reported 2HL S7% had fibrillation potentials in 100% in muscles i	n Standard Muscle % y % % %   SH of biceps femoris SH of biceps femoris AT EHL EDB   Clinical findings of PN Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges Not Reported 100 Not Reported 91   Clinical findings of PN Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges 0 100 Not Reported 91   Clinical findings of PN Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges 0 57% had fibrillation potentials in some muscles in 100% in muscles some muscles inmervated by the 100% in muscles some muscles inmervated by the 100% in freported 77   Clinical findings of PN Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges Not reported 79 Not Reported 77   Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges Not reported 17 26 26   Increased duration 17 26 26   Clinical findings Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber 54 4 4	n Standard   Muscle   %   y   %   %   %   %   %     SH of biceps femoris   AT   EHL   EDB   PL     Clinical findings of PN   Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges   Not Reported   100   Not Reported   91   82     Clinical findings of PN   Abnormal spontaneous single muscle fiber discharges   0   57% had fibrillation potentials in some muscles insurvated by the control insurvated insurvated by the control insurvated insurvated by the control insurvated by the control insurvated insurvated by the control insurvated insurvated by the control insurvated insurvated insurvated by the control insurvated insurvated insurvated insurvated by the control insurvated insurvate	