

## RISKS IN ELECTRODIAGNOSTIC MEDICINE

Key words: (electromyography, nerve conduction studies, contraindication, infection control, lymphedema, complications, risks)

### Abstract

There is a need for practitioners to be knowledgeable about potential complications from nerve conduction studies and needle electromyography as well as to know how to reduce the risk of such complications. Since a summary of risks inherent to electrodiagnostic (EDX) medicine was first published over one decade ago, publication of additional literature and technological advances warrant reassessment of this topic. Other relevant practice topics that were initially published independently are unified into this document to provide the reader with updated information on the risks of EDX medicine.

### INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

When electrodiagnostic (EDX) testing is performed on patients with certain underlying medical conditions, the EDX physician should consider the potential risks of the procedure. Literature regarding risks and complications of EDX testing is limited. The following updates the original version of this document published in 1999<sup>1</sup>. It provides information and guidance to approach some common problems encountered by EDX physicians. Ultimately, physician judgment must be utilized to manage individual patient circumstances.

A search of medical databases (Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, PubMed NLM, MEDLINE (1966–2004), and MEDLINE In-Process) was performed in March 2009 by the AANEM Professional Practice Committee. Another search was performed in August 2011 and March 2012. Searches were performed using the terms: “electromyography or EMG or EMG needles or nerve conduction” cross-referenced with “lymphedema or lymph node dissection or lymph node excision,” “pregnancy and complication,” “pregnancy and standards,” “pregnancy and contraindication,” “hematoma or bleeding,” “defibrillator or pacemaker,” and “joint prosthesis or arthroplasty, replacement”. Additional pertinent articles were obtained through cross-reference of bibliographies of previously identified articles. The search for literature included only articles written in English.

## INFECTION CONTROL

Infection control is an important issue in the EDX laboratory. The chances of transmitting bloodborne pathogens from patients to EDX physicians and staff, from EDX physicians and staff to patients, and from EDX equipment to patients must be minimized.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has published standards<sup>2</sup> regarding bloodborne pathogens (29 Code of Federal Regulations§1910.1030; [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=STANDARDS&p\\_id=10051](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=STANDARDS&p_id=10051)). The rule applies to all persons exposed occupationally to blood or other potentially infectious materials. It outlines preventive measures, such as hepatitis B vaccination (HBV) and universal precautions, and certain methods of control, including engineering and work practice controls, personal protective equipment, and housekeeping procedures. Other preventative measures involve what to do if an exposure incident occurs. Use of masks and gowns may be required depending on the individual case. OSHA also requires the creation of an exposure control plan, whereby employees are informed of hazards associated with bloodborne pathogens, and maintenance of certain medical records. The OSHA document and additional publications from the Centers for Disease Control<sup>3-8</sup> set the standards concerning occupational exposure to blood or other potentially infectious materials.

### Transmission of Bloodborne Pathogens Between Patients and Healthcare Workers

#### *Routes of Infection*

Routes of transmission of infection with bloodborne pathogens<sup>4,3,5,9,10</sup> include percutaneous inoculation or contact between blood or certain other bodily fluids with an open wound, non-intact skin, or mucous membranes. Blood is the single most important potential risk of HIV and viral hepatitis infection in the EDX laboratory.

#### *Universal Precautions*

Universal precautions mandated by OSHA consider all patients' blood and certain body fluids to be potentially infectious.<sup>2,6,5</sup>

## *Use of Protective Barriers*

Personal protective equipment<sup>5,2,6</sup> worn by healthcare workers, such as gloves and laboratory coats, reduces the risk of exposure of the healthcare worker's skin or mucous membranes to blood and other potentially infective materials. Personal protective equipment should be removed prior to leaving the work area and should be placed in an appropriately designed area or container for decontamination or disposal. Judgment must be exercised in choosing the type(s) of equipment needed in a given clinical situation. The AANEM recommends that gloves be worn when it can reasonably be anticipated that the EDX physician or assistant may have hand contact with blood and other potentially infectious materials during a needle electromyography (EMG) examination. The physician or assistant should minimize touching objects other than the patient and EDX equipment when wearing gloves. Gloves should be changed between patient contacts, when contaminated, or when torn or punctured. Gloves should be taken off before leaving the examination room. Hands should be washed immediately after gloves are removed. Electrodiagnostic physicians should query patients prior to performing the EDX examination if they have a latex allergy and should have access to alternatives, such as vinyl gloves.

## *Engineering and Work Practice Controls*

Engineering and work practice controls should be used to eliminate or minimize healthcare worker and patient exposure to bloodborne pathogens.<sup>4,5,2</sup> Workers should wash hands and any other skin with soap and water, or flush mucous membranes with water as soon as feasible following contact with blood or other potentially infectious materials. Eating, drinking, or applying cosmetics are prohibited in work areas where there is a reasonable likelihood of occupational exposure. Food and drink should not be kept in containers (e.g. refrigerators) or on countertops where blood or other potentially infectious materials are present.

Unless proper gloves are worn, EDX physicians and staff who have exudative lesions or weeping dermatitis on their hands should refrain from all direct patient care and from handling possibly contaminated patient-care equipment until the condition resolves.<sup>6</sup>

Specific guidelines for the use of sharp instruments and electrodes in neurological procedures were adopted with permission from guidelines developed by the American Academy of Neurology AIDS Task Force.<sup>11</sup> All EDX physicians and staff should take precautions to prevent injuries caused by needle electrodes and other sharp instruments. In a survey of EDX physicians, 64% reported at least one needlestick injury,<sup>12</sup> most commonly during a routine procedure, although patient movement and recapping were also identified as common causes. Contaminated needle electrodes should not be bent, sheared, or broken. Recapping through the use of a mechanical device or a 1-handed technique is preferred over a 2-handed technique. As soon as possible after use, contaminated needle electrodes should be placed in appropriate containers until properly processed or discarded.

Such containers must meet OSHA standards and should be located as close as feasible to the immediate area where the electrodes are used.

## *Incidence of Transmission to Patients*

A single needle electrode is typically used to make multiple insertions on the same patient during needle EMG testing. There is no evidence to suggest that multiple insertions into the same patient with a single needle electrode increase the risk of infection. Given the availability of inexpensive, single-use, disposable sensory testing devices, there is no role for lapel pins or reusable pinwheels to test sensation.

Needle EMG is not listed in the American Heart Association guidelines as a procedure which requires prophylactic antibiotic treatment to prevent endocarditis in patients with valvular heart disease.<sup>13,14</sup> No data indicate that preparing the skin prior to needle insertion reduces the incidence of transmission to patients; however, alcohol is a simple, rapid, and effective antiseptic. The disadvantage of the stinging discomfort that may occur with alcohol preparation of the skin must be weighed against the possible advantages. Most importantly, skin which is obviously dirty or contaminated must be cleaned with soap and water prior to any studies or before preparing the skin with alcohol. Insertion of needle electrodes through infected skin or sores is contraindicated.

Two reports of soft tissue infections at sites of needle EMG electrode insertion have been published, one involving staphylococcus epidermidis<sup>15</sup> and the other involving mycobacterium fortuitum.<sup>16</sup> In the outbreak of infections due to m. fortuitum, reusable needle electrodes were routinely disinfected with 2% glutaraldehyde and then rinsed with tap water, the probable source of the infecting organism. This outbreak demonstrates that patient infection may be associated with needle EMG electrodes that are not sterilized according to current guidelines.

The transmission of HIV from an infected dentist to patients has been reported.<sup>17,18</sup> The Hepatitis B virus (HBV) also has been transmitted to multiple patients in the practices of individual infected healthcare workers during invasive procedures.<sup>19-21</sup> To reduce the small risk of transmission of bloodborne pathogens from the EDX physician or assistant to the patient, care should be taken to protect the patient from contact with blood from the EDX physician or assistant, particularly through percutaneous injuries.

Disposable needle electrodes are recommended whenever possible. Reusable needle electrodes that are contaminated should not be stored or processed in a manner that requires workers to reach by hand into the containers where they have been placed. Needles should be sterilized with techniques compliant with Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (<http://www.jointcommission.org>), local, and institutional policies, as applicable.

## Housekeeping

Contaminated work surfaces should be decontaminated with an appropriate disinfectant after completion of procedures; as soon as feasible when surfaces are overtly contaminated (e.g., after any major spill of blood); and at the end of the work shift if the surface may have become contaminated since the last cleaning.<sup>4,5,2</sup> Protective coverings, such as paper used to cover examination table surfaces, should be replaced after each patient. Environmental surfaces such as walls and floors are not associated with transmission of infections to patients or healthcare workers.<sup>4,5</sup> Therefore, extraordinary attempts to disinfect or sterilize these environmental surfaces are not necessary.

## Regulated Waste

Regulated waste is defined by OSHA2 as: liquid or semi-liquid blood or other potentially infectious materials; contaminated items that would release blood or other potentially infectious materials in a liquid or semi-liquid state if compressed; items that are caked with dried blood or other potentially infectious materials and are capable of releasing these materials during handling; and contaminated sharps and pathological and microbiological wastes containing blood or other potentially infectious materials. The actual volume of blood is not the determining factor as to whether a particular material is to be considered regulated waste. Thus, not all materials likely to be contacted by drops of blood in the EDX laboratory (patient gowns, table paper, gauze pads) need be considered regulated waste.

Non-sharp regulated waste, including laundry, should be placed in containers which meet OSHA standards. Disposal of all regulated waste should be in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

## Lymphedema

Lymphedema is the abnormal accumulation of lymph in an extremity or on the trunk or face. It is commonly seen following lymph node dissection for malignancies or progression of tumor that disrupts lymphatic flow. Lymphedema can also result from a number of other disorders, such as Milroy disease (congenital lymphatic hypoplasia), rheumatologic disorders, and morbid obesity. Lymph node dissection and local irradiation may impair lymphatic flow and increase the risk for cellulitis of the affected limb.<sup>22</sup> Patients with lymphedema or patients at risk for lymphedema are routinely cautioned to avoid percutaneous procedures in the affected extremity, namely venipuncture,<sup>23,24</sup> to prevent development or worsening of lymphedema or cellulitis. Despite the potential risk, the evidence for such complications subsequent to venipuncture is limited.<sup>24</sup> No published reports exist of cellulitis, infection, or other complications related to EMG performed in the setting of lymphedema or prior lymph node dissection. However, given the unknown risk of cellulitis in patients with lymphedema, the AANEM believes that reasonable caution should be exercised in performing needle examinations in lymphedematous regions to avoid complications.<sup>25</sup> In patients with gross edema and taut

skin, skin puncture by needle electrodes may result in chronic weeping of serous fluid. The potential bacterial media of such serous fluid and the violation of skin integrity may increase the risk of cellulitis. Prior to proceeding, the physician should weigh the potential risks of performing the study with the need to obtain the information gained.

## Prosthetic joints

Prosthetic joints may become infected postoperatively due to hematogenous spread of bacteria. Bacteria may enter the circulatory system through infections involving the surgical site or other noncontiguous tissues or following procedures that produce bacteremia, including dental procedures and gastrointestinal studies.<sup>26-30</sup> The risk for prosthetic joint infection declines rapidly during the first few postoperative months and continues to decline during the first 2 postoperative years.<sup>31</sup> There are no published reports of complications related to needle EMG in patients with prosthetic joints. Based upon current published literature, it is the opinion of the AANEM that there is no contraindication to needle EMG in patients with prosthetic joints when sterile single patient use or properly autoclaved needle electrodes are utilized and infected spaces are not traversed by the needle electrode.

## DISTURBANCES IN HEMOSTASIS

Bleeding and hematoma are potential risks of needle EMG in patients with or without disorders of hemostasis. There is limited data regarding the incidence of clinically significant bleeding complications from needle EMG and any additional risk in patients who are receiving antiplatelet or anticoagulant therapy or who suffer from thrombocytopenia or clotting factor deficiencies. There are many different blood thinning medications now available, in addition to some herbal remedies that have anticoagulant properties. The degree of blood thinning cannot be monitored with some of the newer medications such as rivaroxaban but there may be a ceiling effect related to limited solubility with increasing doses.

Despite the inherent risk of needle EMG in patients with and without increased bleeding tendencies, since the technique was first developed in the 1960s, there have been only two case reports of compartment syndrome occurring after needle EMG, and in neither case was the patient taking blood thinning medication.<sup>32,33</sup> Similarly, there have been only four reports of symptomatic hemorrhage following needle EMG in patients taking blood thinning medication.<sup>34-37</sup> However two patients suffered trauma between the time of EMG and diagnosis of the hemorrhage; thus it is not clear that the EMG was the cause.<sup>34-35</sup> In a survey of 47 electrodiagnostic laboratories with ACGME-approved fellowships, 3 laboratories reported a single instance of serious bleeding complications (requiring intervention) occurring in anticoagulated patients and one laboratory reported two instances of serious bleeding complications, in the history of their lab recollection.<sup>38</sup>

## ELECTRICALLY SENSITIVE PATIENTS

### EDX Studies in the Critical Care Unit

The critically ill patient is at particular risk for electrical injury because certain protective factors may not be operative.<sup>25</sup> Two important defenses against electric injury are frequently lost in these patients. First, the high resistance provided by dry, intact skin is often breached by intravenous and intra-arterial catheters with leakage and spills around the catheter site. With lowered resistance, current applied in these areas will be conducted more efficiently to the rest of the body, including the heart.<sup>47-50</sup>

The second important protection against electric injury is the large volume of soft tissue which surrounds the heart (i.e., the trunk) and dilutes any electric current applied to the body, protecting the heart from direct electric current application. In the critically ill patient, intracardiac catheters are now commonplace. Such catheters bypass this large electrical sink and provide small, otherwise harmless but now potentially lethal, currents direct access to the immediate vicinity of the heart (microshock).<sup>51</sup>

Two common sources of current which might affect the hospitalized patient are leakage current from attached electric equipment and applied current from stimulators that are a part of electrodiagnostic machines. While most manufacturers make intracardiac devices electrically isolated so that they will not conduct electricity, the same attention must be given to these catheters as to other percutaneous catheters. Stimulation in the immediate vicinity of the catheter should be avoided and should never be done in the presence of fluid spills or leakage.

“Leakage current” is current that leaks to the instrument chassis and then can be delivered to the connected patient if improper grounding conditions exist. The maximum current allowed to leak from the case or from patient connections is 20 $\mu$ A.<sup>52</sup> The EDX physician is responsible for ensuring that the machine meets these minimum specifications. Providing proper patient grounding is necessary to protect patients from electric injury. The third ground wire is required on all electric equipment for patient use because it provides a harmless route for any chassis leakage current. The current flows directly to the ground, instead of to the patient. Testing of the third ground wire integrity and outlet grounds should be performed at regular intervals.<sup>53</sup>

Special safety considerations arise when patients are connected to multiple machines. Defects in outlet grounds or ground faults may occur in individual outlets. Thus, if a person is connected to equipment supplied from different outlets, one with a functional ground and the other nonfunctional, leakage current may flow from the machine connected to the nonfunctional outlet ground, through the patient into the functional outlet ground wire of lower voltage. Thus, it is recommended that the patient be disconnected from all nonessential electric equipment during EDX testing. The remaining equipment should be plugged into the same outlet or, at least, outlets in the same vicinity which are likely to share a common ground.

There have been several retrospective studies examining the risk of paraspinal hematoma following needle EMG. An often quoted study by Caress et al was triggered after an asymptomatic but quite large hematoma was noted in the lumbar paraspinals of a young woman after undergoing needle EMG.<sup>39</sup> The authors then performed an uncontrolled retrospective review of 17 further cases and found 4 other small, asymptomatic hematomas on MRI, but none of these hematomas were diagnosed on the original MRI report. Since then Gertken et al. have published a large case series of 370 patients who underwent paraspinal EMG within the 7 days preceding spine MRI (a total of 431 paraspinal areas were examined with both EMG and MRI).<sup>40</sup> There were no hematomas detected by 2 radiologists who independently reviewed the images. London et al. published a smaller controlled blinded study, comparing paraspinal hematoma rates in patients with and without EMG preceding the MRI.<sup>41</sup> No hematomas were detected in the 29 patients who underwent EMG prior to MRI (many of whom were taking aspirin and/or NSAIDs), and 2 hematomas were found on MRI in control patients who had not undergone EMG prior to the MRI. There have been two prospective studies using ultrasound to visualize higher risk muscles post EMG to evaluate for hematoma formation, which found low rates of clinically inapparent hematoma formation patients on anticoagulation at the time of EMG.<sup>42,43</sup> Gertken et al. has since published a review of the literature to date, in which the total number of muscles imaged post EMG is 1037, including 488 controls, 222 patients taking anticoagulants, 328 taking aspirin or clopidogrel, 35 taking NSAIDs and 3 taking herbal remedies that could affect clotting.<sup>44</sup> There were 10 asymptomatic hematomas found in this group, giving an overall rate of 0.96% risk of hematoma formation post EMG and in the specific subgroups: controls 1.02%, antiplatelet agents 0.61%, anticoagulants 1.35%.

In summary, there is a growing body of literature to support the safety of needle EMG, in patients with and without increased bleeding risk. In patients taking anticoagulation medication, the thrombotic risk of discontinuing anticoagulation prior to EMG outweighs the risk of the needle examination while on anticoagulation.<sup>45,46</sup> Nonetheless, needle EMG is an invasive procedure, and each case should be considered individually with regard to the potential benefits of the study relative to the risks of intramuscular hemorrhage or other bleeding. In such situations, needle EMG should be performed with added caution and it is prudent to first examine small, superficial muscles which are easily compressible to watch for bleeding problems. Prolonged pressure over the needle site will usually produce hemostasis. Some practitioners utilize vapocoolant spray to improve hemostasis, although there are no studies assessing the utility of this technique. Likewise, no data indicate that various needle parameters (e.g. gauge, monopolar vs. concentric, etc.) present different risks for bleeding complications.

When using EDX equipment, it is recommended that the ground be placed between the stimulator and the recording electrodes, and the ground and needle electrodes should be in close proximity. This practice helps ensure that any leakage current or applied current will return to ground and not spread to the rest of the body. If proper attention is given to equipment leakage current, grounding, and location and type of percutaneous catheters, EDX testing of the electrically sensitive patient can be performed without risk.

Electrodiagnostic laboratories should have in place a power outage and surge protection policy. In an effort to assist laboratories in meeting this standard, the AANEM has developed a model policy: (<http://www.aanem.org/Accreditation/Resources/Model-Policies/Power-Outage.aspx>).

## **Cardiac Pacemakers and Implanted Cardiac Defibrillators**

Cardiac pacemakers and implanted cardiac defibrillators (ICDs) are used increasingly in clinical practice, and no evidence exists indicating that performing routine EDX studies on patients with these devices pose a safety hazard. However, there are theoretical concerns that electrical impulses of nerve conduction studies (NCS) could be erroneously sensed by devices and result in unintended inhibition or triggering of output or reprogramming of the device.<sup>54</sup> In general, the closer the stimulation site is to the pacemaker and pacing leads, the greater the chance for inducing a voltage of sufficient amplitude to inhibit the pacemaker.

Despite such concerns, no immediate or delayed adverse effects have been reported with routine NCS. A single study of 10 patients with pacemakers with bipolar sensing configurations and 5 patients with ICDs found no evidence of cardiac device sensing or malfunctioning with routine NCS utilizing surface stimulation (including left Erb point stimulation in 9 patients).<sup>54</sup> In a subsequent study<sup>55</sup> in which NCS were performed on upper extremities with a peripheral intravenous line, electrical impulses were not detected by pacemakers or ICDs. Furthermore, there was no evidence of adverse effects on pacing, cardiac device programming or arrhythmia. The authors did not evaluate ICDs placed in the lower abdomen or pacemakers with unipolar sensing mode. A more recent study of 20 patients evaluated the effects of NCS in limbs with peripheral intravenous lines in place, and revealed that impulses generated were not detected by the pacemakers or defibrillators and did not affect programmed settings or interfere with pacing.<sup>55</sup> Although percutaneous nerve stimulation may be performed in patients with implanted cardiac pacemakers with little risk,<sup>56</sup> complete inhibition of a unipolar pacemaker in conjunction with an interscalene nerve stimulator (utilized for regional anesthesia) was reported.<sup>57</sup> A stimulator, therefore, should be used only with extreme caution if it is necessary to stimulate the brachial plexus ipsilateral to a pacemaker or ICD implantation site, particularly if it is unknown if the sensing mechanism is unipolar or bipolar. Caution is advised when performing intramuscular percutaneous stimulation in patients

with implanted devices, especially in the upper extremities, as its safety has not been established. Routine consultation with the patient's cardiologist is not required.

In patients with external cardiac pacemakers, the conductive lead, inserted into the heart (usually transvenously) and connected to the external cardiac pacemaker, presents a serious potential hazard of electric injury to the heart.<sup>25</sup> NCS are not recommended in any patient with an external conductive lead terminating in or near the heart.

The nature of recurrent and frequent electrical impulses that may occur with repetitive stimulation or somatosensory evoked potential testing (SEPs) poses a special circumstance. Nerve stimulation in the lower extremities or in distal upper extremities would be unlikely to have untoward effects upon pacemakers or ICDs. Repetitive stimulation for assessing integrity of the neuromuscular junction typically necessitates study of proximal and/or cranial nerve-innervated muscles, which may place the stimulating electrode closer to the cardiac device. Nonetheless, as there are no data to determine the safety of performing these procedures in patients with pacemakers or ICDs, proximal upper extremity and cranial nerve stimulation sites should be avoided for repetitive and SEP stimulation.

Needle EMG recording does not introduce electrical current into the body and, therefore it poses no risk of interference with implanted cardiac devices.

## **Deep Brain Stimulators**

Deep brain stimulators (DBSs) are increasingly prevalent in patients with Parkinson disease, dystonia, and other disorders. The DBS devices consist of either (1) a single stimulator implanted on either side of the pectoralis muscle which is capable of stimulating the subthalamic nucleus bilaterally through two separate leads or (2) two stimulating devices, one placed on each side of the chest, stimulating each ipsilateral side. The DBS leads typically traverse subcutaneously from the subclavicular area to the lateral-posterior neck and then over the occiput to penetrate the skull at variable sites in the parietal area.

Electromagnetic interference from medical and household devices may cause DBS devices to switch ON or OFF. Also, some patients may "experience a momentary increase in their perceived stimulation" described as uncomfortable (Medtronic Physician and Hospital Staff Manual; Solettra® & Kinetra® devices). More importantly, NCS pose a theoretical risk of introducing electrical current through the leads, which could be transmitted directly to the brain. The typical stimulation intensity of DBS devices ranges from 12–50  $\mu$ A, which is far below the current employed in routine NCS (personal communication, Medtronic). The course of the DBS leads through the supraclavicular and occipital areas may pose additional risks to Erb point and cervical root stimulation. As there currently are no studies of the safety of NCS in patients with DBS devices, the physician should evaluate the risks and benefits of EDX testing in each case.

## **Electrodiagnostic testing of pregnant women**

No known contraindications exist from performing needle EMG or NCS on pregnant patients. In addition, no complications from these procedures have been reported in the literature. Evoked potential testing, likewise, has not been reported to cause any problems when it is performed during pregnancy.

## **Elective needle electrode evaluation of chest wall and abdominal musculature**

At times, needle nerve stimulation or needle EMG of intercostal muscles or muscles in the supraclavicular region, supraspinatus muscles, serratus anterior, rhomboids, diaphragm or paraspinal muscles (cervical or thoracic) may be indicated. Because of the proximity of these nerves and muscles to the pleura and lung, pneumothorax is a complication that may occur if the needle penetrates these structures. Ultrasound guidance may provide more accurate placement of the needle electrode, including insertion into the diaphragm, but this technique has not yet been proven to reduce risks.<sup>58,59</sup> Peritonitis is a potential hazard following inadvertent penetration of the peritoneum during intercostal or abdominal muscle needle EMG. The EDX physician must use clinical judgment to decide if the value of the data to be obtained is greater than the risk involved.<sup>58,59,57,60-63</sup>

## **Other considerations**

In certain circumstances, the performance of needle EMG or NCS may lead to an increased incidence of complications or erroneous results. These may include a patient who is agitated and unable to cooperate, a patient with a very recent myocardial infarction, a patient with hyperesthesia, or a patient with a neuromuscular problem in an edematous limb. Clinical judgment in each individual circumstance should be used in deciding if the risk of complication is greater than the value of the information to be obtained from an EDX examination.

## **Disclaimer**

This report is provided as an educational service of the AANEM. It is based on an assessment of the current scientific and clinical information. It is not intended to include all possible methods of care of a particular clinical problem or all legitimate criteria for choosing to use a specific procedure. Neither is it intended to exclude any reasonable alternative methodologies. The AANEM recognizes that specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient and his/her physician and are based on all of the circumstances involved.

Approved by the AANEM Board of Directors, December 16, 2009

Modified and approved by the AANEM Board of Directors, July 22, 2014

## REFERENCES

1. Guidelines in electrodiagnostic medicine. Risks in electrodiagnostic medicine. *Muscle Nerve Suppl* 1999;8:S53-69.
2. Occupational Safety and Health Administration: Occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens; Final rule. *Fed Regist* (29 CFR Part 1910.1030) 1991;56:64004-64182.
3. Recommendations for protection against viral hepatitis. *MMWR* 1985;34(22):313-324, 329-335.
4. Centers for Disease Control: Recommendations for prevention of HIV transmission in health-care settings. *MMWR* 1987;36:3S-18S.
5. Update: universal precautions for prevention of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus, and other bloodborne pathogens in health-care settings. *MMWR* 1988; 37:377-382, 387-378.
6. Centers for Disease Control: Recommendations for preventing transmission of human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis B virus to patients during exposure-prone invasive procedures. *MMWR* 1991;40:1-9.
7. Public Health Service guidelines for the management of health-care worker exposures to HIV and recommendations for postexposure prophylaxis. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 1998;47:1-33.
8. Panlilio AL, Cardo DM, Grohskopf LA, Heneine W, Ross CS. Updated U.S. Public Health Service guidelines for the management of occupational exposures to HIV and recommendations for postexposure prophylaxis. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2005;54:1-17.
9. Centers for Disease Control: Update: human immunodeficiency virus infections in health-care workers exposed to blood of infected patients. *MMWR* 1987;36:285-289.
10. Klein RS. Universal precautions for preventing occupational exposures to human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Am J Med* 1991;90:141-144.
11. Janssen RS, Cornblath DR, Epstein LG, McArthur J, Price RW. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and the nervous system: report from the American Academy of Neurology AIDS Task Force. *Neurology* 1989;39:119-122.
12. Mateen FJ, Grant IA, Sorenson EJ. Needlestick injuries among electromyographers. *Muscle Nerve* 2008;38:1541-1545.
13. Nishimura RA, Carabello BA, Faxon DP, et al. ACC/AHA 2008 guideline update on valvular heart disease: focused update on infective endocarditis: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: endorsed by the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation* 2008;118:887-896.
14. Wilson W, Taubert KA, Gewitz M, et al. Prevention of infective endocarditis: guidelines from the American Heart Association: a guideline from the American Heart Association Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease Committee, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, and the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. *Circulation* 2007;116:1736-1754.
15. Burris JF, Fairchild PG. Iatrogenic hand injuries in outpatients. *South Med J* 1986;79:1515-1516.
16. Nolan CM, Hashisaki PA, Dundas DF. An outbreak of soft-tissue infections due to *Mycobacterium fortuitum* associated with electromyography. *J Infect Dis* 1991;163:1150-1153.
17. Centers for Disease Control: Possible transmission of human immunodeficiency virus to a patient during an invasive dental procedure. *MMWR* 1990;39:489-493.
18. Centers for Disease Control: Update: transmission of HIV infection during invasive dental procedures--Florida. *MMWR* 1991;40:377-381.
19. Ahtone J, Goodman RA. Hepatitis B and dental personnel: transmission to patients and prevention issues. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1983;106:219-222.
20. Grob PJ, Bischof B, Naeff F. Cluster of hepatitis B transmitted by a physician. *Lancet* 1981;2:1218-1220.
21. Rimland D, Parkin WE, Miller GB, Jr., Schrack WD. Hepatitis B outbreak traced to an oral surgeon. *N Engl J Med* 1977;296:953-958.
22. Simon MS, Cody RL. Cellulitis after axillary lymph node dissection for carcinoma of the breast. *Am J Med* 1992;93:543-548.
23. Neese PY. Management of lymphedema. *Lippincotts Prim Care Pract* 2000;4:390-399.
24. Smith J. The practice of venepuncture in lymphoedema. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 1998;7:97-98.
25. Al-Shekhlee A, Shapiro BE, Preston DC. Iatrogenic complications and risks of nerve conduction studies and needle electromyography. *Muscle Nerve* 2003;27:517-526.
26. Practice parameters for antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent infective endocarditis or infected prosthesis during colon and rectal endoscopy. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1992;35:277.
27. Advisory statement. Antibiotic prophylaxis for dental patients with total joint replacements. American Dental Association; American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1997;128:1004-1008.
28. Farbod F, Kanaan H, Farbod J. Infective endocarditis and antibiotic prophylaxis prior to dental/oral procedures: latest revision to the guidelines by the American Heart Association published April 2007. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009;38:626-631.
29. Meyer GW, Artis AL. Antibiotic prophylaxis for orthopedic prostheses and GI procedures: report of a survey. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92:989-991.
30. van Langenberg A. Practice parameters for antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent infective endocarditis or infected prosthesis during colon and rectal endoscopy. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2001;44:899.
31. Berbari EF, Hanssen AD, Duffy MC, Steckelberg JM, Ilstrup DM, Harmsen WS, Osmon DR. Risk factors for prosthetic joint infection: case-control study. *Clin Infect Dis* 1998;27:1247-1254.
32. Farrell CM, Rubin DI, Haidukewych GJ. Acute compartment syndrome of the leg following diagnostic electromyography. *Muscle Nerve* 2003;27:374-377.
33. Vaienti L, Vourtsis S, Urzola V. Compartment syndrome of the forearm following an electromyographic assessment. *J Hand Surg Br* 2005;30:656-657.
34. Baba Y, Hentschel K, Freeman WD, Broderick DF, Wszolek ZK. Large paraspinous and iliopsoas muscle hematomas. *Arch Neurol* 2005;62(8):1306.

35. Butler ML, Dewan RW. Subcutaneous hemorrhage in a patient receiving anticoagulant therapy: an unusual EMG complication. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1984;65:733-734
36. Crisan E, Patil V, Chawla J. Gluteal hematoma after a needle electromyography examination of the gluteal medius muscle. *Muscle & Nerve* 2013;Suppl.
37. Rosioreanu A, Dickson A, Lypen S, Katz DS. Pseudoaneurysm of the calf after electromyography: sonographic and CT angiographic diagnosis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2005;185:282-283.
38. Gruis KL, Little AA, Zebarah VA, Albers JW. Survey of electrodiagnostic laboratories regarding hemorrhagic complications from needle electromyography. *Muscle Nerve* 2006;34:356-358.
39. Caress JB, Rutkove SB, Carlin M, Khoshbin S, Preston DC. Paraspinal muscle hematoma after electromyography. *Neurology* 1996;47:269-272.
40. Gertken JT, Hunt CH, Chinea NM, Morris JM, Sorenson EJ, Boon AJ. Risk of hematoma following needle electromyography of the paraspinal muscles. *Muscle Nerve* 2011;44:439-440.
41. London Z, Quint DJ, Haig AJ, Yamakawa KS. The risk of hematoma following extensive electromyography of the lumbar paraspinal muscles. *Muscle & Nerve* 2012;46(1):26-30.
42. Boon AJ, Gertken JT, Watson JC, Laughlin RS, Strommen JA, Mauermann ML, Sorenson EJ. Hematoma risk after needle electromyography. *Muscle & Nerve* 2012;45(1):9-12.
43. Lynch SL, Boon AJ, Smith J, Harper CM, Jr., Tanaka EM. Complications of needle electromyography: hematoma risk and correlation with anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy. *Muscle Nerve* 2008;38:1225-1230.
44. Gertken, JT, AT Patel, and AJ Boon. Electromyography and anticoagulation. *PM & R: the journal of injury, function, and rehabilitation* 2013;5(5Suppl):S3-7
45. Petersen, P., et al., Placebo-controlled, randomised trial of warfarin and aspirin for prevention of thromboembolic complications in chronic atrial fibrillation. The Copenhagen AFASAK study. *Lancet*, 1989. 1(8631): p. 175-9.
46. Risk factors for stroke and efficacy of antithrombotic therapy in atrial fibrillation. Analysis of pooled data from five randomized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med* 1994;154:1449-1457.
47. McPartland JF, McPartland JM, McPartland GI, (eds): McGraw-Hill's National Electrical Code Handbook, ed 17. New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1981.
48. Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals: Functional Safety and Sanitation. Chicago, Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals, 1982.
49. Dalziel C. Electrical shock hazard. *IEEE Spectrum* 1972;9:41-50.
50. Hatch DJ, Raber MB. Grounding and safety. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 1975;22:62-65.
51. Kimura J: *Electrodiagnosis in Diseases of Nerve and Muscle*. Philadelphia, F.A. Davis Company, 1989. p 610.
52. Kimura J: *Electrodiagnosis in Diseases of Nerve and Muscle*. Philadelphia, F.A. Davis Company, 1989. P 614-615.
53. Grass E. *Electrical Safety Specifically Related to EEG* (Bulletin X757C78). Grass Instrumentation Company, Quincy, MA, 1978.
54. Schoeck AP, Mellion ML, Gilchrist JM, Christian FV. Safety of nerve conduction studies in patients with implanted cardiac devices. *Muscle Nerve* 2007;35:521-524.
55. Mellion ML, Buxton AE, Iyer V, Almahameed S, Lorvidhaya P, Gilchrist JM. Safety of nerve conduction studies in patients with peripheral intravenous lines. *Muscle Nerve* 2010;42:189-191.
56. LaBan MM, Petty D, Hauser AM, Taylor RS. Peripheral nerve conduction stimulation: its effect on cardiac pacemakers. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1988;69:358-362.
57. Engelhardt L, Grosse J, Birnbaum J, Volk T. Inhibition of a pacemaker during nerve stimulation for regional anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia* 2007;62:1071-1074.
58. Boon AJ, Alsharif KI, Harper CM, Smith J. Ultrasound-guided needle EMG of the diaphragm: technique description and case report. *Muscle Nerve* 2008;38:1623-1626.
59. Boon AJ, Oney-Marlow TM, Murthy NS, Harper CM, McNamara TR, Smith J. Accuracy of electromyography needle placement in cadavers: non-guided vs. ultrasound guided. *Muscle Nerve* 2011;44:45-49.
60. Honet JC. Pneumothorax and EMG. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1988;69:149.
61. Honet JE, Honet JC, Cascade P. Pneumothorax after electromyographic electrode insertion in the paracervical muscles: case report and radiographic analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1986;67:601-603.
62. Miller J. Pneumothorax. Complication of needle EMG of thoracic wall. *N J Med* 1990;87:653.
63. Reinstein L, Twardzik FG, Mech KF, Jr. Pneumothorax: a complication of needle electromyography of the supraspinatus muscle. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1987;68:561-562.